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#### Abstract

We present a cost optimal parallel algorithm for the computation of arithmetic coding. We solve the problem in $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ time using $n / \log n$ processors on EREW PRAM. This leads to $\mathcal{O}(n)$ total cost.
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## 1 Introduction

There is still a need for data coding. The growing demand for network communication and for storage of data signals from space are not the only examples of coding needs. Many algorithms have been developed for text compression.

One of these is arithmetic coding [Mo98, Wi87], which is more efficient than the widely known Huffman algorithm [Hu52]. The latter rarely produces the best variable-size code, the arithmetic coding overcomes this problem. Arithmetic coding can be generated in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time sequentially, and we present a well scalable $N C$ parallel algorithm that generates the code in $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ time on EREW PRAM with $n / \log n$ processors. This leads to $\mathcal{O}(n)$ total cost and a cost optimal algorithm.

Despite the large number of papers on the parallel Huffman algorithm (the last known [Lb99] is work optimal) there are only a few papers on parallel arithmetic coding. Most of these are based on a quasi-arithmetic coding [Ho92]. We know only two exceptions. The first [Yo98] is based on an N-processor hypercube and is not cost optimal. The second [Ji94] is mainly focused on the hardware implementation. Authors expected the processing speed of their tree-based parallel structure eight times as high as the speed of a sequential coder. This is still $\mathcal{O}(n)$ parallel time.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a description of the sequential arithmetic coding algorithm. Section 3 presents some basic definitions. Section 4 describes the parallel prefix computation needed by our algorithm. Section 5 presents our parallel arithmetic coding algorithm. Section 6 describes the time complexity of our algorithm. Section 7 contains our conclusion. Note that this paper does not mention the decoding process.

## 2 Sequential Arithmetic Coding

First we review the sequential algorithm. Let $A=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right]$ be the source alphabet containing $m$ symbols and an associated set of frequencies $F=\left[f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m-1}\right]$ shows the occurrences of each symbol. Next we compute the array of probabilities $R=\left[r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m-1}\right]$ such that $r_{i}=f_{i} / T$ where $T=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_{i}$, the array of high ranges $H=\left[h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m-1}\right]$ such that $h_{i}=\sum_{x=0}^{i} r_{x}$, the array of low ranges $L=\left[l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m-1}\right]$ such that $l_{0}=0$ and $l_{i}=h_{i-1}, i>0$. Table 1 shows an example.

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 5 | $5 / 10=0.5$ | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| W | 1 | $1 / 10=0.1$ | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| I | 2 | $2 / 10=0.2$ | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| M | 1 | $1 / 10=0.1$ | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| L | 1 | $1 / 10=0.1$ | 0.0 | 0.1 |

Table 1: Frequencies, probabilities and ranges of five symbols.
The string of symbols $S=\left[s^{0}, s^{1}, \ldots, s^{n-1}\right]$ is encoded as follows. The first character $s^{0}$ can be encoded by a number within an interval $\left[l_{y}, h_{y}\right)$ associated to a character $y=s^{0}, y \in A$. This notation $[a, b)$ means the range of real numbers from $a$ to $b$, not including $b$. Let us define these two bounds as LowRange and HighRange.

As more symbols are input and processed, LowRange and HighRange are updated according to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LowRange }^{j}=\text { LowRange }^{j-1}+\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times l_{x}, \\
\text { HighRange }^{j}=\text { LowRange }^{j-1}+\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times h_{x},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $h_{x}$ and $l_{x}$ are low and high ranges of new character $x \in A$, LowRange $^{-1}=0$, HighRange ${ }^{-1}=1$. Table 2 indicates an example for the word "SWISS".

| A | L\&H | The calculation of low and high ranges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | L | $0.0+(1.0-0.0) \times 0.5=0.5$ |
|  | H | $0.0+(1.0-0.0) \times 1.0=1.0$ |
| W | L | $0.5+(1.0-0.5) \times 0.4=0.70$ |
|  | H | $0.5+(1.0-0.5) \times 0.5=0.75$ |
| I | L | $0.7+(0.75-0.7) \times 0.2=0.71$ |
|  | H | $0.7+(0.75-0.7) \times 0.4=0.72$ |
| S | L | $0.71+(0.72-0.71) \times 0.5=0.715$ |
|  | H | $0.71+(0.72-0.71) \times 1.0=0.720$ |
| S | L | $0.715+(0.72-0.715) \times 0.5=0.7175$ |
|  | H | $0.715+(0.72-0.715) \times 1.0=0.7200$ |

Table 2: The process of arithmetic encoding.

## 3 Definitions

Our parallel algorithm is designed to run on the Parallel Random Access Machine (PRAM), which is a very simple synchronous model of the SIMD computer([Le92, Qu94, Tv94]). PRAM includes many submodels of parallel machines that differ from each other by conditions of access to the shared memory. Our algorithm works on the Exclusive Read Exclusive Write (EREW) PRAM model, which means that no two processors can access the same cell of the shared memory.

We define sequential time $S U(n)$ as the worst time of the best known sequential algorithm where $n$ is the size of the input data. Parallel time $T(n, p)$ is the time elapsed from the beginning of a $p$-processor parallel algorithm solving a problem instance of size $n$ until the last (slowest) processor finishes the execution.

Consider a synchronous $p$-processor algorithm $A$ with $\tau=T(n, p)$ parallel steps. Let $p_{i}$ be the number of processors active (working) at step $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, \tau\}$ of $A$. Then the synchronous parallel work of $A$ is

$$
W(n, p)=T_{1}+T_{2}+\cdots+T_{\tau} .
$$

Parallel cost (also called processor-time product) is defined as

$$
C(n, p)=p \times T(n, p)
$$

It is obvious that

$$
S U(n) \leq W(n, p) \leq C(n, p)
$$

If $S U(n)=W(n, p)$ then the algorithm is work optimal. If $S U(n)=C(n, p)$ then the algorithm is cost optimal.

The efficiency of the parallel algorithm is defined as

$$
E(n, p)=\frac{S U(n)}{C(n, p)}
$$

Let $E_{0}$ be the constant such that $0<E_{0}<1$. Then isoefficiency function $\psi_{1}(p)$ is the asymptotically minimum function such that

$$
\forall n_{p}=\Omega\left(\psi_{1}(p)\right): E\left(n_{p}, p\right) \geq E_{0}
$$

Hence, $\psi_{1}(p)$ gives asymptotically the lower bound on the instance size of a problem that can be solved by $p$ processors with efficiency at least $E_{0}$.

Scalability is the ability to adapt itself to a changing number of processors or or to changing size of the input data. Good scalability means that if we want to use new processors we have to increase the size of our problem only a little. Fast growth of function $\psi_{1}$ provides poor scalability.

We say that class $N C$ (Nick's class) is a set of algorithms that can be computed with at most polylogarithmic time and with at most a polynomial number of processors. These algorithms provide a high level of parallelization.

## 4 Parallel Prefix Computation

As far as our parallel algorithm is based on the parallel prefix algorithm we show how it works. The problem is defined as follows [La80]. Let $S=\left[s^{0}, s^{1}, \ldots, s^{n-1}\right]$ be the array of numbers. The prefix problem is to compute all the prefixes of the products

$$
s^{0} \otimes s^{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes s^{n-1}
$$

where $\otimes$ is an associative operation.
Fig. 1 shows the algorithm that assumes $n$ processors $p^{0}, p^{1}, \ldots, p^{n-1}$ and array $M=\left[m^{0}, m^{1}, \ldots, m^{n-1}\right]$ of numbers stored in the shared memory. Every processor $p^{i}$ also has a register $y^{i}$. From now on we will use EREW PRAM with similar conditions.

```
for \(i:=0,1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
    \(y^{i}:=M[i] ;\)
for \(j:=0,1, \ldots,\lceil\log n\rceil-1\) do_sequentially
begin
    for \(i:=2^{j}, 2^{j}+1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
        \(y^{i}:=y^{i} \otimes M\left[i-2^{j}\right] ;\)
    for \(i:=2^{j}, 2^{j}+1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
        \(M[i]=y^{i}\);
end
```

Figure 1: Parallel prefix algorithm.
Fig. 2 indicates a parallel prefix algorithm computing an array of 7 numbers with the associative operation of sum. This is then called the parallel prefix sum.

Here we show the parallel time $T(n, p)$ of the parallel prefix computation on EREW PRAM. First we suppose that $p<n$. Each processor simulates $n / p$ processors. This sequentially sums $n / p$ numbers. This takes at most $4 n / p$ steps (read first number, read second number, sum and write the result). After that the processors run the parallel prefix algorithm in time $\mathcal{O}(\log p)$. So the parallel time, cost, efficiency and function $\psi_{1}$ take

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n, p) & =\mathcal{O}(n / p+\log p), \\
C(n, p) & =\mathcal{O}(n+p \log p), \\
E(n, p) & =\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{n}{n+p \log p}\right), \\
\psi_{1}(p) & =\mathcal{O}(p \log p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can say that the parallel prefix algorithm is a well scalable $N C$ algorithm due to the definitions in Section 3. If $p=n$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(n, n)=\mathcal{O}(n / n+\log n)=\mathcal{O}(\log n) \\
C(n, n)=\mathcal{O}(n+n \log n)=\mathcal{O}(n \log n)
\end{gathered}
$$

However, when $p=n / \log n$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(n, n / \log n)=\mathcal{O}(n \log n / n+\log n-\log \log n)=\mathcal{O}(\log n), \\
C(n, n / \log n)=\mathcal{O}(n+n / \log n(\log n-\log \log n))=\mathcal{O}(n)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, we have obtained a parallel cost optimal algorithm.


Figure 2: Parallel prefix sum example.

## 5 Parallel Arithmetic Coding

Recall that we use the array $A=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right]$ of the source alphabet containing $m$ symbols, the associated set of frequencies $F=\left[f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m-1}\right]$, the associated set of probabilities $R=\left[r_{0}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m-1}\right]$ so that $r_{i}=f_{i} / T$ where $T=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_{i}$, the array of low ranges $L=\left[l_{0}, l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m-1}\right]$, the array of high ranges $H=\left[h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m-1}\right]$ so that $l_{0}=0, l_{i}=h_{i-1}, i>0$ and $h_{i}=l_{i}+r_{i}$.

Our idea of parallelism is that we have a string $S=\left[s^{0}, s^{1}, \ldots, s^{n-1}\right]$ of $n$ characters to encode. Each processor $p^{j}$ is associated with a character $s^{j}$ and computes variables LowRange and HighRange for that character.

### 5.1 Preliminaries

We suppose that we have an array Range $=\left[\right.$ range $^{0}$, range $^{1}, \ldots$, range $\left.^{n-1}\right]$ for our algorithm. Each range ${ }^{j}$ is initialized with probability $r_{y}$ such that $a_{y}=s^{j}$ where $j$ is the index of the $j$-th character in the input string and $s^{j} \in A$. We also suppose that we have an array Low $=\left[l o w^{0}, l o w^{1}, \ldots, l o w^{n-1}\right]$. Each low ${ }^{j}$ is initialized with value $l_{y}$ such that $a_{y}=s^{j}$. We need at least one variable high initialized with value $h_{y}$ such that $a_{y}=s^{n-1}$.

### 5.2 Changes in Sequential Algorithm

Let us return to sequential arithmetic coding and try to change the algorithm a bit so that it can be parallelized. Recall the bounds computation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LowRange }^{j}=\text { LowRange }^{j-1}+\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times l_{x}, \\
\text { HighRange }^{j}=\text { LowRange }^{j-1}+\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times h_{x},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $h_{x}$ and $l_{x}$ are low and high ranges of new character $x \in A$, LowRange $^{-1}=0$, HighRange ${ }^{-1}=1$ and mark the cumulative lower and higher bounds

$$
\begin{aligned}
L R^{j} & =\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times l_{x} \\
H R^{j} & =\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times h_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

So the values LowRange and HighRange are updated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LowRange }^{j}=\text { LowRange }^{j-1}+L R^{j}, \\
& \text { HighRange }^{j}=\text { LowRange }^{j-1}+H R^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we now focus only on the variables $L R$ and $H R$ now.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L R^{j} & =\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times l_{x}= \\
& =\left(\text { LowRange }^{j-2}+H R^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-2}-L R^{j-1}\right) \times l_{x}= \\
& =\left(H R^{j-1}-L R^{j-1}\right) \times l_{x}, \\
H R^{j} & =\left(\text { HighRange }^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-1}\right) \times h_{x}= \\
& =\left(\text { LowRange }^{j-2}+H R^{j-1}-\text { LowRange }^{j-2}-L R^{j-1}\right) \times h_{x}= \\
& =\left(H R^{j-1}-L R^{j-1}\right) \times h_{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, LowRange ${ }^{j}$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LowRange }^{j} & =L R^{j}+\text { LowRange }^{j-1}=L R^{j}+L R^{j-1}+\text { LowRange }^{j-2}= \\
& =\cdots=L R^{j}+L R^{j-1}+\cdots+L R^{0}+\text { LowRange }^{-1}= \\
& =\sum_{x=0}^{j} L R^{x}+\text { LowRange }^{-1}=\sum_{x=0}^{j} L R^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

because LowRange ${ }^{-1}=0$.
The change in our algorithm is that we first compute the cumulative lower and higher bounds and next we simply compute the sum of these cumulative bounds so that we obtain the final bounds LowRange and HighRange.

Let us see how the variables $L R$ and $H R$ can be computed for the word "SWISS". We declare that $L R^{0}$ is the $L R$ variable for the first character $s^{0}=$ " $S^{\prime \prime}$ and $l_{x}, h_{x}$, $r_{x}$ are lower range, higher range and probability of character $x \in A . L R^{-1}$ and $H R^{-1}$ are initial cumulative bounds for a number that represents the encoded text S. For arithmetic coding this number is defined by default as an interval $[0,1)$. That is why $L R^{-1}=$ LowRange $^{-1}=0$ and $H R^{-1}=$ HighRange $e^{-1}=1$.
$L R^{-1}=0$
$H R^{-1}=1$
$L R^{0}=\left(H R^{-1}-L R^{-1}\right) \times l_{s}=1.0 \times 0.5=0.5$
$H R^{0}=\left(H R^{-1}-L R^{-1}\right) \times h_{s}=1.0 \times 1.0=1.0$
$L R^{1}=\left(H R^{0}-L R^{0}\right) \times l_{w}=\left(h_{s}-l_{s}\right) \times l_{w}=r_{s} \times l_{w}=0.5 \times 0.4=0.2$
$H R^{1}=\left(H R^{0}-L R^{0}\right) \times h_{w}=\left(h_{s}-l_{s}\right) \times h_{w}=r_{s} \times h_{w}=0.5 \times 0.5=0.25$
$L R^{2}=\left(H R^{1}-L R^{1}\right) \times l_{i}=\left(r_{s} \times h_{w}-r_{s} \times l_{w}\right) \times l_{i}=r_{s} \times r_{w} \times l_{i}=0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.2=0.01$
$H R^{2}=\left(H R^{1}-L R^{1}\right) \times h_{i}=\left(r_{s} \times h_{w}-r_{s} \times l_{w}\right) \times h_{i}=r_{s} \times r_{w} \times h_{i}=0.5 \times 0.1 \times 0.4=0.02$
$L R^{3}=\left(H R^{2}-L R^{2}\right) \times l_{s}=\left(r_{s} \times r_{w} \times h_{i}-r_{s} \times r_{w} \times l_{i}\right) \times l_{s}=r_{s} \times r_{w} \times r_{i} \times l_{s}=0.005$
$H R^{3}=\left(H R^{2}-L R^{2}\right) \times h_{s}=\left(r_{s} \times r_{w} \times h_{i}-r_{s} \times r_{w} \times l_{i}\right) \times h_{s}=r_{s} \times r_{w} \times r_{i} \times h_{s}=0.01$

So it is obvious that the lower bound of the $j$-th character $L R^{j}$ and the higher bound of the $j$-th character $H R^{j}$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
L R^{j} & =\left(\prod_{x=0}^{j-1} r^{x}\right) \times l^{j}, j>0 \\
H R^{j} & =\left(\prod_{x=0}^{j-1} r^{x}\right) \times h^{j}, j>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.3 Parallel Prefix Production

```
for \(i:=0,1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
    \(y^{i}:=\) Range \([i] ;\)
for \(j:=0,1, \ldots,\lceil\log n\rceil-1\) do_sequentially
begin
    for \(i:=2^{j}, 2^{j}+1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
        \(y^{i}:=y^{i} \times\) Range \(\left[i-2^{j}\right] ;\)
    for \(i:=2^{j}, 2^{j}+1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
        Range \([i]=y^{i}\);
end
```

Figure 3: Parallel prefix production algorithm.
These new $L R$ and $H R$ variables are exactly what we need, because $\prod_{x=0}^{j-1} r^{x}$ can be computed in parallel as we immediately show. Computation of $\prod_{x=0}^{j} r^{x}=$ $\prod_{x=0}^{j}$ range $^{x}$ can be done by the parallel prefix production algorithm explained in Section 4, as shown in Fig. 3. Table 3 indicates the parallel prefix algorithm in our example for the word "SWISS".

| S | W | I | S | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.25 |
| 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.0025 |

Table 3: Parallel prefix production example for the word "SWISS".

### 5.4 Cumulative Bounds Computation

If we have computed $\prod_{x=0}^{j-1} r^{x}$ we can obtain the variables $L R^{j}$ and $H R^{j}$ simply as the product of $\prod_{x=0}^{j-1} r^{x} \times l^{j}$ and $\prod_{x=0}^{j-1} r^{x} \times h^{j}$. Parallel algorithm computing the variables $L R$ and the variable $H R^{n-1}$ is shown in Fig. 4. The variables $H R$ are not exactly needed, except for the last one $H R^{n-1}$. If these variables are required, they can be computed in a similar way. The value $H R^{n-1}$, which is the cumulative high range, is computed after the parallel prefix production computation as

$$
H R^{n-1}=\left(\prod_{x=0}^{n-2} r^{x}\right) \times h^{n-1}
$$

Table 4 shows this computation in our example for the word "SWISS". Note that the results correspond to the cumulative bounds in our sequential example.

```
do_sequentially
begin
    \(y^{n-1}:=H i g h ;\)
    \(y^{n-1}:=y^{n-1} \times\) Range \([n-2] ;\)
    High : \(=y^{n-1}\);
    \(y^{0}:=1 ;\)
end
for \(i:=1,2, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
    \(y^{i}:=\) Range \([i-1] ;\)
for \(i:=0,1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
begin
    \(y^{i}:=y^{i} \times \operatorname{Low}[i] ;\)
    \(\operatorname{Low}[i]:=y^{i}\);
end
```

Figure 4: Parallel computation of the variables $L R$ and $H R^{n-1}$.
Now we have computed the cumulative high and low ranges. The array Low contains the $L R$ values and the field High contains the value $H R^{n-1}$. Next we have to compute the sum of these cumulative ranges $L R$ so that we shall obtain the required bounds HighRange and LowRange for arithmetic compression of string S.

| $\mathbf{L} / \mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $L R$ | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.0025 |
| $H R$ | 1 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.005 |

Table 4: Low and high ranges.

### 5.5 Computation of Low and High Ranges

In Section 5.4 we computed the cumulative bounds $L R$ and $H R$. Here we show how to obtain the bounds earlier declared as LowRange and HighRange for the compressed text. These values can be computed as shown in Section 5.2 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LowRange }^{j}=\left(\sum_{x=0}^{j-1} L R^{x}\right)+L R^{j}, \\
& \text { HighRange }^{j}=\left(\sum_{x=0}^{j-1} L R^{x}\right)+H R^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute the sum we can use the parallel prefix algorithm once more, exactly the parallel prefix sum shown in the former text. Finally, after computing the sum, the variable HighRange $e^{n-1}$ is obtained as

$$
\text { HighRange }^{n-1}=\text { LowRange }^{n-2}+H R^{n-1}
$$

This algorithm is shown in Fig. 5. The array Low contains the values LowRange and the field High contains the value HighRange ${ }^{n-1}$. Our example for the word "SWISS" is shown in Table 5.

```
for \(i:=0,1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
    \(y^{i}:=\operatorname{Low}[i] ;\)
for \(j:=0,1, \ldots,\lceil\log n\rceil-1\) do_sequentially
begin
    for \(i:=2^{j}, 2^{j}+1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
        \(y^{i}:=y^{i}+\operatorname{Low}\left[i-2^{j}\right] ;\)
    for \(i:=2^{j}, 2^{j}+1, \ldots, n-1\) do_in_parallel
        \(\operatorname{Low}[i]=y^{i}\);
end
do_sequentially
begin
    \(y^{n-1}:=\) High;
    \(y^{n-1}:=y^{n-1}+\operatorname{Low}[n-2] ;\)
    High \(:=y^{n-1}\);
end
```

Figure 5: LowRange and HighRange ${ }^{n-1}$ computation algorithm.

| S | $\mathbf{W}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.0025 |
| 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.21 | 0.015 | 0.0075 |
| 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.71 | 0.715 | 0.2175 |
| 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.71 | 0.715 | 0.7175 |

Table 5: Parallel prefix sum example.

## 6 Time and Cost Complexities

Our algorithm does not say how to set the arrays Range, Low and the variable High in a preliminary phase. However, having set the arrays $A, R, L$ and $H$, this can be done in time $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on CREW PRAM with a good hash function that returns an index in the array $A$ of an input character from the input string $S$.

Our EREW PRAM algorithm consists of three phases. In the first phase, the parallel prefix production is computed. As shown in Section 4, this can be done in time $\mathcal{O}(n / p+\log p)$ where $p$ is the number of used processors and $n$ is the size of the input. In the second phase, shown in Fig. 4, we have computed the cumulative bounds $L R$ and $H R$ in time $\mathcal{O}(n / p)$. The third phase, the parallel prefix sum shown in Fig. 5, also takes $\mathcal{O}(n / p+\log p)$ time. The computation of HighRange ${ }^{n-1}$ takes only $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time in any phase. So the time and cost of our algorithm are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(n, p)=\mathcal{O}(n / p+\log p) \\
& C(n, p)=\mathcal{O}(n+p \log p)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p=n / \log n$ then the total time is $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ and the cost is $\mathcal{O}(n)$.
Because our algorithm consists mainly of parallel prefix computation, it inherits its best properties. Our algorithm is therefore a well scalable $N C$ algorithm, and it can be implemented as the cost optimal algorithm.

## 7 Conclusions

We have presented a parallel $N C$ algorithm for computation of arithmetic coding. We have solved the problem in $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ time using $n / \log n$ processors on EREW PRAM. Our algorithm leads to $\mathcal{O}(n)$ total cost and is cost optimal.

The preliminary phase is a weakness of our algorithm. However, if we were able to construct a good adaptive parallel arithmetic coding based on our algorithm, it could solve this problem.

Another question is how to make a good parallel arithmetic decoding algorithm.
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