A Note on Randomized Algorithm for String Matching with Mismatches

Kensuke Baba, Ayumi Shinohara,
Masayuki Takeda, Shunsuke Inenaga, and Setsuo Arikawa

Department of Informatics, Kyushu University 33, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

e-mail: {baba, ayumi, takeda, s-ine, arikawa}@i.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract. Atallah et al. [ACD01] introduced a randomized algorithm for string matching with mismatches, which utilized fast Fourier transformation (FFT) to compute convolution. It estimates the score vector of matches between text string and a pattern string, i.e. the vector obtained when the pattern is slid along the text, and the number of matches is counted for each position. In this paper, we simplify the algorithm and give an exact analysis of the variance of the estimator.

Key words: Pattern matching, mismatch, FFT, convolution, randomized algorithm

1 Introduction

Let $T = t_1, \ldots, t_n$ be a text string and $P = p_1, \ldots, p_m$ be a pattern string over an alphabet Σ. *String matching problem* is to find all occurrences of the pattern P in the text T. *Approximate string matching problem* is to find all occurrences of small variations of the original pattern P in the text T. Substitution, insertion, and deletion operations are often allowed to introduce the variations. In this paper, we allow the substitution operation only. The derived problem is usually called *string matching with mismatches*. It is essentially to compute the *score vector* $C(T, P) = (c_1, \ldots, c_{n-m+1})$ between T and P, where each c_i counts the number of matches between the substring $t_i, \ldots, t_{i+m-1}$ of the text T and the pattern P. If $c_i = m$, the pattern exactly occurs at position i in the text. Fig. 1 shows an example of the score vector. A reasonable amount of effort has been paid for this problem [Abr87, BYG92, BYP96, FP74, Kar93]. Refer the textbooks [CR94, Gus97] to know the history and various results.

Recently, Atallah et al. [ACD01] introduced a randomized algorithm of Monte-Carlo type which returns an estimation of the score vector $C(T, P)$. The estimation is performed by averaging independent equally distributed estimates. Let k be the number of ramdomly sampled estimations, then the time complexity is $O(kn \log m)$ by utilizing a fast Fourier transformation (FFT). They showed that the expected value of the estimation is equal to the score vector, and that the variance is bounded by $(m - c_i)^2/k$.

In this paper, we give a slight simplification of their algorithm. Moreover, we analyze the variance of the estimator exactly.

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
text	a	c	b	a	b	b	a	c	c	b
pattern	<u>a</u>	b	<u>b</u>	<u>a</u>	c					
		a	<u>b</u>	b	a	c				
			a	b	<u>b</u>	a	c			
				<u>a</u>	<u>b</u>	<u>b</u>	<u>a</u>	<u>c</u>		
					a	<u>b</u>	b	a	<u>c</u>	
						a	b	b	a	c
c_i	3	1	1	5	2	0				

Figure 1: Score vector between the text `acbabbaccb` and the pattern `abbac`.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the set of non-negative integers. Let Σ be a finite *alphabet*. An element of Σ^* is called a *string*. The length of a string w is denoted by $|w|$. The empty string is denoted by ε, that is, $|\varepsilon| = 0$. We denote the cardinality of a set S by $|S|$ or $\#S$.

We define a function δ from $\Sigma \times \Sigma$ to $\{0, 1\}$ by

$$\delta(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b, \\ 0 & \text{if } a \neq b. \end{cases}$$

For a text string $T = t_1 t_2 \ldots t_n$ and a pattern string $P = p_1 p_2 \ldots p_m$, *the score vector of matches between T and P* is defined as $C(T, P) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n-m+1})$, where $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta(t_{i+j-1}, p_j)$. That is, c_i is the number of matches between the text and the pattern when the first letter of the pattern in positioned in front of the ith letter of the string.

3 Deterministic Algorithm

In this section, we introduce a deterministic algorithm to compute the score vector for given text T and pattern P. Although it might not be practical for large alphabet, it will be a base for the randomized algorithm explored in the next section.

3.1 Binary Alphabet Case

We first consider a binary alphabet $\Sigma = \{\mathtt{a}, \mathtt{b}\}$. We define a function $\psi : \Sigma \to \{-1, 1\}$ by $\psi(\mathtt{a}) = 1$ and $\psi(\mathtt{b}) = -1$. By using ψ, we convert the strings T and P into the sequences of integers as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(T) &= \psi(t_1), \psi(t_2), \ldots \ldots \ldots, \psi(t_n), \\ \psi(P) &= \psi(p_1), \psi(p_2), \ldots, \psi(p_m). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A^\psi(T, P) = (a_1^\psi, a_2^\psi, \ldots, a_{n-m+1}^\psi)$ where $a_i^\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_{i+j-1}) \cdot \psi(p_j)$.

Lemma 1 *For any $1 \leq i \leq n - m + 1$, $c_i = (a_i^\psi + m)/2$.*
Proof. Since $c_i = \#\{j \mid t_{i+j-1} = p_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq m\}$, we have $a_i^\psi = \#\{j \mid t_{i+j-1} = p_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq m\} - \#\{j \mid t_{i+j-1} \neq p_j, \ 1 \leq j \leq m\} = c_i - (m - c_i) = 2c_i - m$. Thus $c_i = (a_i^\psi + m)/2$. □

The above lemma implies that we have only to compute $A^\psi(T, P)$ to get the score vector $C(T, P)$. Since the sequence $A^\psi(T, P)$ is the convolution of $\psi(T)$ with the reverse of $\psi(P)$, we can calculate all the a_i's simultaneously by the use of fast Fourier transform (FFT) in $O(n \log m)$ time as follows. As is stated in [ACD01], we additionally apply the standard technique [CR94] of partitioning the text into overlapping chunks of size $(1 + \alpha)m$ each, and then processing each chunk separately. Processing one chunk gives us αm components of C. Since we have $n/(\alpha m)$ chunks and each chunk can be computed in $O((1 + \alpha)m \log((1 + \alpha)m))$ by FFT, the total time complexity is $\frac{n}{\alpha m} \cdot O((1 + \alpha)m \log((1 + \alpha)m)) = O\left(\frac{(1+\alpha)}{\alpha} n \log((1 + \alpha)m)\right) = O(n \log m)$ by choosing $\alpha = O(m)$.

Theorem 1 *For a binary alphabet, the score vector C can be exactly computed in $O(n \log m)$ time.*

3.2 General Case

We now consider general case $|\Sigma| > 2$. Let Ψ_Σ be the set of all mappings from Σ to $\{-1, 1\}$. Remark that $|\Psi_\Sigma| = 2^{|\Sigma|}$. We abbreviate Ψ_Σ with Ψ when Σ is clear from the context. The next lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2 *For any $\psi \in \Psi_\Sigma$ and any $a, b \in \Sigma$,*

$$\psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \psi(a) = \psi(b), \\ -1 & \text{if } \psi(a) \neq \psi(b). \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3 *For any $a, b \in \Sigma$,*

$$\frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) = \delta(a, b).$$

Proof. In case of $a = b$, then $\psi(a) = \psi(b)$ for any $\psi \in \Psi$. Therefore $\psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) = 1$ for any ψ by Lemma 2, and the sum $\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b)$ equals to the cardinality of Ψ. Thus, the left side of the equation is unity.

To prove the lemma in case of $a \neq b$, we show a more general proposition:

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(d_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot \psi(d_n) \cdot \psi(b) = 0 \quad \text{if } d_1 \neq b, \cdots, d_n \neq b \ (n \geq 0).$$

By the assumption that b is distinct from $d_1, \cdots, d_n$,

$$\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(d_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot \psi(d_n) \cdot \psi(b)$$
$$= \sum_{\psi(b)=1, \psi \in \Psi} \psi(d_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot \psi(d_n) \cdot 1 + \sum_{\psi(b)=-1, \psi \in \Psi} \psi(d_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot \psi(d_n) \cdot (-1)$$
$$= 0.$$

Thus, by the proposition for $n = 1$, the left side of the equation is zero. □

Theorem 2 *For any* $1 \le i \le m - n + 1$,

$$c_i = \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} a_i^{\psi}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. By the definition of a_i^{ψ} and Lemma 3, the right side of the equation can be changed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} a_i^{\psi} &= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_{i+j-1}) \cdot \psi(p_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(t_{i+j-1}) \cdot \psi(p_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta(t_{i+j-1}, p_j).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last formula is the definition of c_i, the theorem is proved. $\square$

Theorem 3 $C(T, P)$ *can be exactly computed in* $O(2^{|\Sigma|} n \log m)$ *time.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 c_i is the mean of a_i^{ψ} for every $\psi \in \Psi_{\Sigma}$, therefore $C(T, P)$ is obtained by computing all $A^{\psi}(T, P)$. Since each $A^{\psi}(T, P)$ can be computed in $O(n \log m)$ time, we can calculate $C(T, P)$ in $O(2^{|\Sigma|} n \log m)$ time. $\square$

We note that if the alphabet Σ is infinite, by splitting the text in chunks of length $O(m)$ to be dealt with independently ensures it will work with an alphabet size $O(m)$, so that $C(T, P)$ can be exactly computed in $O(2^{O(m)} n \log m)$.

4 Randomized Algorithm

A shortcoming of the deterministic algorithm in the last section is that the running time is exponential with respect to the size of alphabet. It is not practical for large alphabet. In this section, we propose a randomized algorithm which was inspired by Atallah et al. [ACD01].

Let us noticed that Theorem 2 can be interpreted as follows. Each c_i is the mean of random variable $X_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_{i+j-1}) \cdot \psi(p_j)$, assuming that ψ is drawn uniformly randomly from Ψ. The observation leads us to the following randomized algorithm. Instead of computing all vectors $A_{\psi}(T, P) = (a_1^{\psi}, a_2^{\psi}, \ldots, a_{n-m+1}^{\psi})$ where $a_i^{\psi} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_{i+j-1}) \cdot \psi(p_j)$ to average them, we compute only k samples of them for randomly chosen $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k \in \Psi$. Since the expected value of X_i equals to c_i, it will give a good estimation for large enough k. We will give a formal proof of it, and exactly analyze the variance of X_i in the sequel. Fig. 2 illustrates the core part of the algorithm for the basic case $n = (1 + \alpha)m$.

We now analyze the mean and the variance of the estimator $\hat{c}_i$. Since all the random variable $\hat{c}_i$ are defined in a similar way, we generically consider the random variable

$$\hat{s} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_j) \cdot \psi(p_j)$$

Procedure ESTIMATESCORE
Input: a text $T = t_1 \ldots t_{(1+\alpha)m}$ and a pattern $P = p_1 \ldots p_m$ in Σ^*.
Output: an estimate for the score vector $C(T, P)$.
for $\ell := 1$ **to** k **do begin**
    randomly and uniformly select a ψ_ℓ from Ψ_Σ.
    Let $T_\ell = \psi_\ell(T)$. Note that T_ℓ is a sequence over $\{-1, 1\}$ of length $(1 + \alpha)m$.
    Let P_ℓ be the concatenation of $\psi_\ell(P)$ with trailing αm zeros.
    compute the vector C_ℓ as the convolution of T_ℓ with the reverse of P_ℓ by FFT.
end

compute the vector $\hat{C} = \dfrac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} C_\ell$ and output it as an estimate of $C(T, P)$.

Figure 2: Randomized Algorithm

where the t_j's and the p_j's are fixed and mapping ψ's are independently and uniformly selected from Ψ_Σ. The definition implies that $\hat{s}$ is the mean of k random variables which are drawn from independent and identical distribution. The random variable can be defined by

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_j) \cdot \psi(p_j),$$

and the mean $E(\hat{s})$ and variance $V(\hat{s})$ are

$$E(\hat{s}) = E(s) \quad \text{and} \quad V(\hat{s}) = \frac{V(s)}{k}.$$

The number c of matches between $T = t_1 \ldots t_m$ and $P = p_1 \ldots p_m$ is

$$c = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta(t_j, p_j).$$

Lemma 4 *The mean of $\hat{s}$ is equal to c.*

Proof. By Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(\hat{s}) = E(s) &= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} s \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \psi(t_j) \cdot \psi(p_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(t_j) \cdot \psi(p_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta(t_j, p_j).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the mean of $\hat{s}$ is c. $\square$

In order to analyze the variance of s accurately, we introduce the following function $\rho_{T,P} : \Sigma \times \Sigma \to \mathcal{N}$ depending on text $T = t_1 \ldots t_m$ and pattern $P = p_1 \ldots p_m$, which give a statistics of T and P.

$$\rho_{T,P}(a, b) = \#\{j \mid t_j = a \text{ and } p_j = b, \ 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

For example, let $T = \texttt{aabac}$ and $P = \texttt{abbba}$. Then $\rho_{T,P}(\texttt{a},\texttt{b}) = 2$, $\rho_{T,P}(\texttt{a},\texttt{a}) = \rho_{T,P}(\texttt{b},\texttt{b}) = \rho_{T,P}(\texttt{c},\texttt{a}) = 1$, and the others are zero. We omit the subscription T, P of $\rho_{T,P}$ in the sequel. In addition, we use the following expression.

$$\tau(a, b) = \rho(a, b) + \rho(b, a).$$

The next lemma is obvious from the definition.

Lemma 5 $\displaystyle \sum_{(a,b) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma} \rho(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(a,b) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma} \tau(a, b) = m.$

The next lemma gives the exact variance of $\hat{s}$, in terms of ρ.

Lemma 6 *The variance of $\hat{s}$ is*

$$V(\hat{s}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\rho(a, b)^2 + \rho(a, b) \cdot \rho(b, a) \right).$$

Proof. Since the mean of s equals to c by Lemma 4,

$$V(\hat{s}) = \frac{1}{k} V(s) = \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} (s - c)^2.$$

By the definition of ρ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s &= \sum_{(a,b) \in \Sigma \times \Sigma} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \rho(a, b) \\
&= \sum_{a=b} \rho(a, b) + \sum_{a \neq b} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \rho(a, b), \text{ and} \\
c &= \sum_{a=b} \rho(a, b).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} (s - c)^2 &= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left(\left(\sum_{a=b} \rho(a, b) + \sum_{a \neq b} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \rho(a, b) \right) - \sum_{a=b} \rho(a, b) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left(\sum_{a \neq b} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \rho(a, b) \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \left(\sum_{a \neq b} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \rho(a, b) \right) \left(\sum_{a' \neq b'} \psi(a') \cdot \psi(b') \cdot \rho(a', b') \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \sum_{a \neq b} \sum_{a' \neq b'} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \rho(a, b) \cdot \psi(a') \cdot \psi(b') \cdot \rho(a', b') \\
&= \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\rho(a, b) \cdot \sum_{a' \neq b'} \rho(a', b') \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \psi(a') \cdot \psi(b') \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us take $\alpha(a, b, a', b') = \dfrac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(a) \cdot \psi(b) \cdot \psi(a') \cdot \psi(b')$, and show that

$$\alpha(a, b, a', b') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if either } a = a' \text{ and } b = b', \text{ or } a = b' \text{ and } a' = b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

by the case analysis whether there exists a distinct character from the others in a, b, a', b'. If there exists such a character, then $\alpha(a, b, a', b') = 0$ by the proof of Lemma 3. If there does not exist such a character, then we have either $a = a'$ and $b = b'$, or $a = b'$ and $b = a'$ by the assumption that both $a \neq b$ and $a' \neq b'$. Then, by Lemma 3 and the fact that $\psi(a)^2 = 1$ for any $\psi \in \Psi$ and any $a \in \Sigma$ since $\psi(a) \in \{-1, 1\}$,

$$\alpha(a, b, a', b') = \frac{1}{|\Psi|} \sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(a)^2 \cdot \psi(b)^2 = 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} V(\hat{s}) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{a \neq b} \rho(a, b) \left(\rho(a, b) + \rho(b, a) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\rho(a, b)^2 + \rho(a, b) \cdot \rho(b, a) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Moreover, by the definition of τ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\rho(a, b)^2 + \rho(a, b) \cdot \rho(b, a) \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\rho(a, b)^2 + 2\rho(a, b) \cdot \rho(b, a) + \rho(b, a)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \left(\rho(a, b) + \rho(b, a) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \tau(a, b)^2 \\ &= \sum_{a < b} \tau(a, b)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the variance can be exactly restated in term of τ as follows, which might be more intuitive.

Theorem 4 *The variance of $\hat{s}$ is*

$$V(\hat{s}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{a < b} \tau(a, b)^2.$$

Remind that $\tau(a, b)$ represented the number of positions $j = 1, \ldots, m$ in T and P, such that (t_j, p_j) is either (a, b) or (b, a). If T exactly matches P, then $V(\hat{s}) = 0$, which implies that the estimation is always m, without any error. On the other hand, since $\sum_{a < b} \tau(a, b) = m - c$, the variance $V(\hat{s})$ is maximized for inputs which have no match and are constructed by only two characters, for example, $T = \texttt{aaaaaa}$, $P = \texttt{bbbbbb}$, and $T = \texttt{aaabba}$, $P = \texttt{bbbaab}$.

We now state the bound of the variance of $\hat{s}$ in terms of m and c, that exactly fits to the one proved by Atallah et al. [ACD01].

Lemma 7 *The variance of $\hat{s}$ is bounded as follows.*

$$V(\hat{s}) \leq \frac{(m-c)^2}{k}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m - c &= \sum_{(a,b)\in\Sigma\times\Sigma} \rho(a,b) - \sum_{a=b} \rho(a,b) \\
&= \sum_{a\neq b} \rho(a,b) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a\neq b} \tau(a,b) \\
&= \sum_{a<b} \tau(a,b).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(m-c)^2}{k} - V(\hat{s}) &= \frac{1}{k}\left(\sum_{a<b}\tau(a,b)\right)^2 - \frac{1}{k}\sum_{a<b}\tau(a,b)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{k}\sum_{a<b}\left(\tau(a,b)\cdot\sum_{a'<b'}^{*}\tau(a',b')\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\displaystyle\sum_{a'<b'}^{*}\tau(a',b')$ expresses the sum of $\tau(a',b')$ except for the two cases $a' = a, b' = b$ and $a' = b, b' = a$. Since $\tau(a,b) \geq 0$ for any a and b, the last formula is not less than zero. $\square$

We now have the main theorem.

Theorem 5 *Algorithm* ESTIMATESCORE *runs in* $O(kn\log m)$ *time. The mean of the estimation equals to the score vector C, and the variance of each entry is bounded by $(m - c_i)^2/k$.*

5 Conclusion

We gave a randomized algorithm for string matching with mismatches, which can be regarded as a slight simplification of the one due to Atallah et al. [ACD01]. For comparison, we give a brief description of their algorithm. It treats the set Ψ' of all mappings from Σ to $\{0, 1, \ldots, |\Sigma| - 1\}$, and the basic equation is

$$c_i = \frac{1}{|\Psi'|} \sum_{\psi\in\Psi'} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \omega^{\psi(t_{i+j-1})-\psi(p_j)}, \tag{2}$$

where ω is a primitive $|\Sigma|$th root of unity. When $|\Sigma| = 2$, we know $\omega = -1$, and that the equation (2) directly corresponds to the equation (1) in ours. The difference is how to treat general alphabet $|\Sigma| > 2$. In our algorithm, the converted sequence $\psi(T)$

is simply over $\{-1, 1\}$, while in their algorithm $\psi(T)$ is over $\{1, \omega, \omega^2, \ldots, \omega^{|\Sigma|-1}\}$ that are complex numbers. When computing the convolution by FFT, the computation of the former will be much simpler (and possibly faster) than the latter. From the view point of the precision of the numerical calculations, the former might be preferable to the latter, although we have not yet studied explicitly. Moreover, this simplification enabled us to reach the exact estimation of the variance (Theorem 4), by fairly primitive discussion. An interesting point is that the variance is still independent from the size of alphabet, although we map Σ into $\{-1, 1\}$, instead of $\{0, 1, \ldots, |\Sigma| - 1\}$.

In their paper [ACD01], they considered various extensions, such as string matching with classes, class components, "never match" and "always match" symbols, weighted case, and higher dimension arrays. We think our simplification will be valid without any difficulty for all those extensions, although we have not completely verified them yet.

References

[Abr87]   K. Abrahamson. Generalized string matching. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 16(6):1039–1051, 1987.

[ACD01]   M. J. Atallah, F. Chyzak, and P. Dumas. A randomized algorithm for approximate string matching. *Algorithmica*, 29:468–486, 2001.

[BYG92]   R. A. Baeza-Yates and G. H. Gonnet. A new approach to text searching. *Communications of the ACM*, 35:74–82, 1992.

[BYP96]   R. A. Baeza-Yates and C. H. Perleberg. Fast and practical approximate string matching. *Information Processing Letters*, 59(1):21–27, 1996.

[CR94]   M. Crochemore and W. Rytter. *Text Algorithms*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.

[FP74]   M. J. Fischer and M. S. Paterson. String-matching and other prodeucts. In *Complexity of Computation (Proceedings of the SIAM-AMS Applied Mathematics Symposium, New York, 1973)*, pages 113–125, 1974.

[Gus97]   D. Gusfield. *Algorithms on Strings, Trees, and Sequences*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.

[Kar93]   H. Karloff. Fast algorithms for approximately couting mismatches. *Information Processing Letters*, 48(2):53–60, 1993.